

Michael Melling michaelm@davieshowe.com Direct: 416.263.4515 Main: 416.977.7088 Fax: 416.977.8931 File No. 702420

June 22, 2017

By E-Mail Only to stephanie.dumont@york.ca

Chairman Wayne Emmerson and Members of the Committee of the Whole Regional Municipality of York Regional Clerk's Office York Region Administrative Centre 17250 Yonge Street Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 6Z1

Attention: Ms. Stephanie Dumont, Committee Coordinator, Office of the Regional Clerk

Dear Chairman Emmerson and Members of Committee:

Re: Committee of the Whole Meeting, June 22, 2017 Item D.2.3 – Requests for Referral to the Ontario Municipal Board Applications for Official Plan Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision Approval Town of East Gwillimbury

We are counsel to 669276 Ontario Limited and 651965 Ontario Limited, the proponents of the applications that are subject to the above-noted requests for referral to the Ontario Municipal Board (the "Referral Requests").

Due to the very limited time we have been afforded to respond to the Staff Report in front of you, I'm going to cut to the chase.

Your Staff are Rushing You

Our clients have been given a grand total of <u>three (3) business days</u> to respond to the Staff Report and its recommendations. There is no reasonable explanation – or any explanation for that matter – for the brevity of the notice, given that we submitted the Referral Requests <u>more than three months ago</u>.

Three days' notice is clearly insufficient given the gravity of the decision you're being asked to make. And in case you're wondering, the additional week we'll have before Staff takes this matter, as they intend, to Council, isn't enough time either.





Denying our clients an adequate opportunity to respond is a breach of procedural fairness and natural justice. It would be a complete failure of your duty.

Staff Want You Not Only to Deny Justice, but to Deny Access to It

There has only been time for a preliminary review of the Staff Report, so what follows reflects that fact.

The decision before you is to refuse our clients any opportunity for an Ontario Municipal Board hearing of their applications. The entire "case" for that proposed decision is what's in your agenda package. You have not consulted the public. You have not afforded our clients a full and proper hearing. You have not reviewed the lengthy and torturous history of the applications or the conduct of the Region or the Town during it.

In short, you have one side of a long story, and therefore no basis to terminate our client's applications.

And, while the Staff Report isn't short, it boils down to a single claim: that Staff believe that our clients' applications do not meet current statutory and policy tests for approval. With respect, that's not your decision to make. It's the Board's.

The decision before you is not like the ones you regularly make in approving or refusing applications. In those cases, there are appeal rights and access to O.M.B. hearings. While one *might* justify refusing a planning application based on the kind of record you have before you today, knowing that there will be a proper hearing of an appeal at the Board, there's no such avenue available to our clients if you don't refer their applications.

Finally, if you agree with Staff, and are confident in their judgment, that our clients' applications are bad planning and shouldn't be approved, then send them to the O.M.B. We'll all find out there, after a proper hearing.

Any other decision is a violation of natural justice and a dereliction of duty.

Yours sincerely. DAVIES HOWE LLP Michael Melling MWM:KF



copy: Ms. Barbara Montgomery, Legal & Court Services, Regional Municipality of York Mr. Bruce Ketcheson, Counsel to the Town of East Gwillimbury Clients